IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

THURSDAY, THE 7TH JUNE 2007 / 17TH JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 21935 of 2006(J)

PETITIONER:

A. BASHEERUDHEEN, S/O.ABDUL RAHUMANKUTTY
KACHERI THEKKATHIL, PILAPPUZHA MURI,
HARIPAD VILLAGE.

BY ADV. SRI.K.RAMAKUMAR
SRI.N.J.JOHNSON

RESPONDENTS:

THE TAHSILDAR, KARTHIKAPPALLY TALUK
OFFICE, HARIPAD.

BY G.P. SMT.ANU SIVARAMAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 07/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

W.P(C).No. 21935/06

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

P1. COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DTD.28.2.2006 IN O.S.NO.21/2002 OF MUNSIFF'S COURT, HARIPPAD.

P2. COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 21.7.2006 BEFORE THE RESPONDENT.

Sdk+

///True copy///

P.A. to Judge.

S.SIRI JAGAN, J.

==========

W.P.(C).No.21935 of 2006

==========

Dated this the 7th day of June, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner has applied for mutation of his properties in his name, by Ext.P2 application. Petitioner's contention is that by virtue of Ext.P1 judgment of the Civil Court, he is entitled to such mutation.

2. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as also the learned Government Pleader.

3. In the facts and circumstances of the case, there would be a direction to the respondent to consider Ext.P2 application and take appropriate further proceedings thereto, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment, after affording an opportunity of being heard to the petitioner. While considering the same, the petitioner is free to rely on Ext.P1 and the respondents shall consider his contentions on the basis of Ext.P1 also.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-

sdk+ S.SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE

///True copy///

P.A. to Judge.